Case 2:20-cv-00594-CDS-EJY Document 147 Filed 04/17/25 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Lisa A. Bryant, Case No. 2:20-cv-00594-CDS-EJY 4 Plaintiff Order Denying as Moot Defendants' 5 Motion for an Extension of Time 6 v. Madison Management Services, LLC, et al., [ECF No. 115] 7 Defendants 8 9 Defendants Madison Management Services, LLC and Waldman & Porras move for an 10 extension of time to file trial documents pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A). 111 Mot., ECF No. 115.1 The defendants argue that there is good cause to allow the extension because their pending motion to continue the trial date caused confusion as to the deadlines. Id. 13 14 at 2. At the time of filing, this case was set for trial to commence on April 7, 2025. Order, ECF 15 No. 94. Therefore, as set forth in my order regarding trial, the parties' trial documents were due "at least seven business days prior to the commencement of a trial," id. at 3, thereby making 16

the deadline March 27, 2025. Although the defendants filed their proposed verdict forms, voir

the deadline March 27, 2025. Although the defendants filed their proposed verdict forms, voir like dire, witness lists, exhibit lists, and jury instructions on March 28, 2025 (ECF Nos. 116–19, 122–

19 26),³ the trial was ultimately continued to May 21, 2025 (ECF No. 143). For that reason,

20), the that was definately continued to may 21, 2029 (201 110.119). For that reas

defendants' motion for an extension of time [ECF No. 115] is dehied as moot.

Dated: April 17, 2025

20

21

22

23

24

Cristina D. Silva

United States District Judge

¹ Corrected image at ECF No. 132.

 $^{^2}$ During the April 1, 2025 calendar call, the trial was set to be begin at the conclusion of an ongoing criminal trial (estimated to be April 9). ECF No. 129.

³ Defendants are reminded they must submit their proposed jury instructions in Word format, to CDS_chambers@nvd.uscourts.gov. ECF No. 94 at 3.